

## Brain-Swarm Control Interfaces: from the Control of One to the Control of Many

Panagiotis Artemiadis\*

Director of the ASU Human-Oriented Robotics and Control (HORC) Lab, School for Engineering of Matter, Transport and Energy, Arizona State University, USA

### Abstract

Brain-Machine Interfaces (BMIs) have been one of the most influential and disruptive science fields of the past decades. Prosthetic or remotely operated robotic devices being controlled by brain signals has transitioned from science fiction to reality. Advances in the recording electrodes technology and the machine learning and signal decoding algorithms were critical in the realization of those systems. The second decade of the 21<sup>st</sup> century brings new challenges found in both frontiers; first, advancements in neuroscience are sought via high-resolution mapping of the brain for better understanding of its function and decision making processes. On the robotics frontiers, the challenge of the human controlling many robots simultaneously is of utmost importance for applications spanning from industrial and entertainment, to disaster response and military. As the swarming paradigm, deriving inspiration from the behaviour of natural swarms such as bird flocks and fish schools, offers myriad advantages to a team of robots, the way humans interact and control a robotic swarm creates new avenues of research. This article summarizes recent developments and novel methods for brain-swarm interfaces, and poses challenges for the future researchers.

### Background and Introduction

Brain Machine Interfaces (BMI) have gained increased attention over the last decades because they offer intuitive control in a plethora of applications where other interfaces (e.g. joysticks) are inadequate or impractical. Moreover, these kinds of interfaces allow people with motor disabilities due to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), spinal cord injuries (SCI) etc. to interact with the world. This interaction is found in many forms and can vary between controlling the motion of a cursor on a screen to interacting with an actual robotic platform. However, most of the existing systems allow only binary control, while the number of degrees of freedom directly.

Brain-machines interfaces have been widely used in many applications ranging from the control of prosthetics [1,2] to human-computer interfaces [3]. Electroencephalographic (EEG) signals in particular have been used in the past for this scope [4-7]. There are two main approaches towards BMI using EEG signals: one is based on event related potentials (ERPs) and another is based on the multiple sensor EEG activities recorded in the course of ordinary brain activity. The latter approach is more comprehensive and does not require any particular stimulus. The author has extensive research background on BMIs using electromyography (EMG) signals from upper limb muscles [8-19], and neural recordings [20,21]. We recently proposed that instead of using the decoder-based technique for BMIs, human subjects can learn to map their neural activity into control actions for an artificial system [22-28]. More specifically we have shown that subjects can control artificial systems using muscular activation, without requiring a decoding function to map one to the other. This method requires no training of the interface itself, therefore no decoder. We have also shown that once the subjects learn to control a system, their learned techniques are transferable to different tasks. This result led us to propose new avenues for BMIs, going beyond decoder-based techniques, and significantly improving human-machine embodiment.

Focusing on EEG signals, previous studies have demonstrated the ability of the subjects to develop control of their own brain activity using biofeedback [29,30]. More specifically, it was shown that human subjects gained voluntary control over brain rhythms. Leveraging

this result, and based on our recent findings, we recently proposed to develop a novel framework of embedded human controllers using EEG signals. More-over, in contrary to all previous studies on BMIs that built methods for the control of a single system (usually a teleoperated or prosthetic device), we proposed to extend the current state of the art by introducing the control of a multi-agent system (swarm) using brain interfaces. This article presents the motivation of the brain-swarm control interfaces project, as well as recent results.

### Motivation and Recent Developments

Without loss of generality, this article focuses on the control of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) by a human. State of the art systems usually involve one human controller for a single UAV. The human has spatial feedback of the controlled vehicle, and provides it with high-level commands (e.g. fly to a specific location or follow a predefined surveillance path) [31,32]. However, the swarming paradigm, deriving inspiration from the behaviour of natural swarms, offers myriad advantages to a team of UAVs. A swarm system consists of a large group of relatively inexpensive, interchangeable vehicles that execute autonomous decisions using information obtained via local sensing and communication. The redundancy in a swarm makes its operation robust to vehicle failures and disturbances, which also enable the use of sacrificial platforms and its distributed activity, can conceal the system's mission from an opponent. Recent advances in computing,

\*Corresponding author: Artemiadis P, Director of the ASU Human-Oriented Robotics and Control (HORC) Lab, School for Engineering of Matter, Transport and Energy, Arizona State University, USA, Tel: +480965-4182; Fax: +480727-9321; E-mail: [panagiotis.artemiadis@asu.edu](mailto:panagiotis.artemiadis@asu.edu)

Received September 26, 2016; Accepted February 24, 2017; Published March 02, 2017

Citation: Artemiadis P (2017) Brain-Swarm Control Interfaces: from the Control of One to the Control of Many. Adv Robot Autom 6: e127. doi: [10.4172/2168-9695.1000e127](https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9695.1000e127)

Copyright: © 2017 Artemiadis P. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

sensing, actuation and control technologies are currently enabling the development of swarms of aerial vehicles, varying in complexity, size and overall scale [33,34]. The integration of very large teams of robots into comprehensive systems enables new tasks and missions ranging from search, exploration, rescue, surveillance, pursuit, up to deploying infrastructure.

The trend of deploying multi-agent systems, however, poses a challenge for the control of such systems, especially for human operators. Currently, most large robotic systems are controlled by multiple operators, often via remote control. For larger systems with more agents, such an approach is not practical. Although most of these agents can act autonomously, the distributed algorithms and complex dynamics of those systems pose another challenge to the human operators. Therefore, as the “power of the many” UAVs is facilitating an increasing number of applications, the human role in the high-level control architecture of this population is becoming more and more significant.

We recently demonstrated a hybrid control interface for a human and a swarm of UAVs using both a manual controller (joystick) and brain interfaces via EEG. The EEG signals were recorded using a non-invasive set of 64 electrodes placed on the head of human subjects. The data were recorded at 500 Hz. A 5th order Butterworth band pass filter between the frequencies of 1 and 40 Hz was applied to the data in order to remove low-frequency trends and line noise. In order to accommodate for the volume conduction effects that are typical in scalp EEG measurements [35], a large Laplacian filter was applied to each of the channels of interest. We focused our analysis on 11 channels located over the sensorimotor cortex, namely C3, Cz, C4, FC3, CP3, C1, FCz, CPz, C2, FC4, and CP4.

After the pre-processing step, Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) was applied to the data in order to extract the spectral features of the signals. For each channel, a dedicated algorithm selected automatically a frequency band of interest. Its goal was to find for each channel the frequency band that the user was activating the most. In this work, we were interested in ERD/ERS phenomena on the motor cortex while the subjects were performing limb movement imagination or actual limb movement (joystick movement) and we focused our search on the alpha ( $\alpha$ ) and beta ( $\beta$ ) bands (i.e. 7 to 30 Hz). In order to further extract features that would guarantee good differentiation among the tasks, we applied Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to the final FFT features. Finally, a Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) classifier was developed. The final output of the hybrid system combines a continuous measure about the amplitude of the EEG signals with the classification decision about the brain state of the subject and a joystick input in order to output a command vector where each of its elements regulates a specific DOF of the robotic platform.

A swarm of 3 quad rotors was controlled using the pro-posed hybrid BMI system. The user changes the formation of the quad rotors, passes them through the hoop and then returns them in their original formation. A video of the experiment is included in [35]. The joystick was used for the directional motion control of the swarm, while the cohesion of the swarm, defined as their inter-distance in the lateral axis, was controlled from the subject’s brain signals. This was a real-time demonstration of controlling a swarm of quad rotors using our proposed hybrid BMI using both EEG activations and joystick inputs.

## Conclusion and Future Directions

The system we proposed is going to generate a novel generation of Brain-Swarm Control Interfaces that will provide human operators with

a wealth of control capabilities over multi-agent systems. Advancing our understanding of swarm perception and control at the brain level offer a myriad of applications that involve human-in-the-loop multi-agent systems, spanning from industrial and entertainment, to disaster response and military situations. The avenues of multidisciplinary research required to address the challenges are numerous and exciting. The transition from controlling one robot to a swarm of them using brain-machine interfaces has just started.

## Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the U.S. Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) grant D14AP00068, and U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research (AFOSR) award FA9550-14-1-0149. Controlled through those interfaces is quite limited, i.e. only one or two in most cases.

## References

1. Hochberg LR, Bacher D, Jarosiewicz B, Masse NY, Simeral JD, et al. (2012) Reach and grasp by people with tetraplegia using a neurally controlled robotic arm. *Nature* 485: 372-375.
2. Carmena J, Lebedev M, Crist R, O’Doherty J, Santucci D, et al. (2003) Learning to control a brain-machine interface for reaching and grasping by primates. *PLoS biology* 1: 2-4.
3. Kim SP, Simeral J, Hochberg L, Donoghue JP, Black MJ, et al. (2008) Neural control of computer cursor velocity by decoding motor cortical spiking activity in humans with tetraplegia. *J Neural Eng* 5: 455-476.
4. Wolpaw JR, Birbaumer N, McFarland, Furtcheller GP, Vaughan TM, et al. (2002) Brain-computer interfaces for communication and control. *Clinical neurophysiology* 113: 767-791.
5. Neumann N, Kaiser J, Kotchoubey B, Hinterberger T, Birbaumer NP, et al. (2001) Brain-computer communication: self-regulation of slow cortical potentials for verbal communication. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 82: 1533-1539.
6. Obermaier B, Muller G, Furtcheller GP (2003) Virtual keyboard controlled by spontaneous eeg activity. *IEEE Transactions on neural systems and rehabilitation engineering* 11: 422-426.
7. Schroder M, Lal TN, Hinterberger T, Bogdan M, Hill NJ (2005) Robust eeg channel selection across subjects for brain-computer interfaces. *EURASIP Journal on Applied Signal Processing*. 2005: 3103-3112.
8. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2005) Teleoperation of a robot manipulator using EMG signals and a position tracker. *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp: 1003-1008.
9. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2006) EMG-based tele-operation of a robot arm in planar catching movements using armax model and trajectory monitoring techniques. *Proc. of IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, pp: 3244-3249.
10. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2007) EMG-based teleoperation of a robot arm using low-dimensional representation. *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp: 489-495.
11. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2007) EMG-based position and force control of a robot arm: Application to teleoperation and orthosis. *Proc. of IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics*, Switzerland.
12. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2009) EMG-based position and force control of coupled human-robot systems: Towards EMG-controlled exoskeletons. In *Experimental Robotics*, Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, pp: 241-250.
13. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2009) A bio-inspired filtering framework for the EMG-based control of robots. in *Proc. of 17th Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation*.
14. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2010) EMG-based control of a robot arm using low-dimensional embedding’s *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* 26: 393-398.
15. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2010) An EMG-based robot control scheme robust to time-varying emg signal features. *IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine* 14: 582-588.
16. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2011) A Switching Regime Model for the EMG-Based Control of a Robot Arm. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*, 41: 53-63.

17. Artemiadis PK, Kyriakopoulos KJ (2008) Estimating arm motion and force using emg signals: On the control of exoskeletons. *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp: 279-284.
18. Liarokapis MV, Artemiadis PK, Katsiaris PT, Kyriakopoulos KJ, Manolakos ES (2012) Learning human reach to grasp strategies: Towards emg-based control of robotic arm hand systems. pp: 2287-2292.
19. Ison M, Artemiadis P (2013) Beyond user-specificity for EMG decoding using multiresolution muscle synergy analysis. *ASME Dynamic Systems and Control Conference*.
20. Artemiadis P, Shakhnarovich G, Vargas-Irwin C, Donoghue J, Black MJ, et al. (2007) Decoding grasp aperture from motor-cortical population activity. *Proc. of IEEE EMBS Conference on Neural Engineering* 13: 518-521.
21. Vargas-Irwin C, Shakhnarovich G, Artemiadis P, Donoghue JP, Black MJ (2007) Neural correlates of grip aperture in primary motor cortex. *Program No. 517.10. 2007 Abstract Viewer and Itinerary Planner*. Online.
22. Antuvan C, Ison M, Artemiadis P (2014) Embedded human control of robots using myoelectric interfaces. *Transactions on Neural Systems & Rehabilitation Engineering* 22: 820-827.
23. Ison M, Antuvan CW, Artemiadis P (2014) Learning efficient control of robots using myoelectric interfaces. in *Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2014 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, pp: 2880-2885.
24. Ison M, Artemiadis P (2014) The role of muscle synergies in myoelectric control: trends and challenges for simultaneous multifunction control. *Journal of neural engineering*, pp: 11: 22.
25. Ison M, Artemiadis P (2015) Proportional myoelectric control of robots: Muscle synergy development drives performance enhancement, retainment, and generalization. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics* 31: 259-268.
26. Ison M, Vujaklija I, Whitsell B, Farina D, Artemiadis P (2015) High-density electromyography and motor skill learning for robust long-term control of a 7-dof robot arm. *Transactions on Neural Systems & Rehabilitation Engineering*.
27. Ison M, Artemiadis P (2014) Enhancing practical multifunctional myoelectric applications through implicit motor control training systems. in *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC), 2014 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, pp: 3525-3528.
28. Ison M, Artemiadis P (2015) Multi-directional impedance control with electromyography for compliant human-robot interaction. in *Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR), 2015 IEEE International Conference on*. IEEE, pp: 416-421.
29. McFarland DJ, McCane LM, Wolpaw JR (1998) Eeg-based communication and control: short-term role of feedback. *Rehabilitation Engineering, IEEE Transactions on* 6: 7-11.
30. Hinterberger T, Neumann N, Pham M, Kubler A, Grether A, et al. (2004) A multimodal brain-based feedback and communication system. *Experimental Brain Research* 154: 521-526.
31. Wickens C, Dixon S, Goh GJ, Hammer B (2005) Pilot dependence on imperfect diagnostic automation in simulated UAV flights: An attentional visual scanning analysis. *Illinois Univ at Urbana Savoy, Tech. Rep*.
32. DeGarmo M, Nelson G (2004) Prospective Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations in the Future National Airspace System. *AIAA 4th Aviation Technology, Integration and Operations (ATIO) Forum*.
33. Brambilla M, Ferrante E, Birattari M, Dorigo M (2013) Swarm robotics: a review from the swarm engineering perspective. *Swarm Intell* 7: 1-41.
34. Lindsey Q, Mellinger D, Kumar V (2012) Construction with quadrotor teams. *Autonomous Robots* 33: 323-336.
35. HORC ASU (2016) Formation control of robotic swarms using brain interface.

**Citation:** Artemiadis P (2017) Brain-Swarm Control Interfaces: from the Control of One to the Control of Many. *Adv Robot Autom* 6: e127. doi: [10.4172/2168-9695.1000e127](https://doi.org/10.4172/2168-9695.1000e127)

### OMICS International: Open Access Publication Benefits & Features

#### Unique features:

- Increased global visibility of articles through worldwide distribution and indexing
- Showcasing recent research output in a timely and updated manner
- Special issues on the current trends of scientific research

#### Special features:

- 700+ Open Access Journals
- 50,000+ editorial team
- Rapid review process
- Quality and quick editorial, review and publication processing
- Indexing at major indexing services
- Sharing Option: Social Networking Enabled
- Authors, Reviewers and Editors rewarded with online Scientific Credits
- Better discount for your subsequent articles

Submit your manuscript at: <http://www.omicsonline.org/submission>