Influence of Positive End-Expiratory Pressure Ventilation on Cerebral Perfusion and Cardiac Hemodynamics
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Abstract

Objective: Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) ventilation is used to prevent alveolar collapse and improve oxygenation. PEEP ventilation hinders venous backflow to the right atrium as well as venous outflow from the brain including the spinal cord. This may have an effect on cardiac output (CO) and could result in an elevation in intracranial pressure (ICP) — an important cause of secondary brain injury. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of moderate changes in PEEP values on hemodynamics and cerebral perfusion in neurological patients experiencing respiratory failure.

Methods: The study focused on 7 patients in a neurological intensive care unit who suffered from respiratory failure. Cerebral blood flow velocity was measured using transcranial color-coded duplex sonography (TCCS) while moderate changes in PEEP levels (10 cm, 7 cm or 5 cm H2O) were made to investigate cerebral arterial blood flow velocity, third ventricular diameter, and midline shift. Simultaneously, cardiac output was measured using a minimally invasive hemodynamic monitoring system (Vigileo® or PICCO®).

Results: Rising PEEPs resulted in a non-significant trend toward increased brain volume, as shown by a mild linear regression of changes in third ventricular diameter. However, no significant changes in cerebral blood flow parameters or hemodynamics values such as blood pressure, heart frequency or cardiac output, were noticed.

Conclusion: Low to moderate changes in PEEP appear to increase brain volume slightly, but cerebral autoregulatory compensatory mechanisms are sufficient to stabilize cerebral perfusion pressure and intravascular volume status. The effects of these changes in PEEP may be challenging in patients with impaired cerebral autoregulation (for example, in patients after subarachnoid hemorrhage or severe brain trauma) as well as in patients requiring a high level of PEEP ventilation (that is, patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome). In such patients TCCS and cardiac hemodynamics monitoring are warranted.
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Abbreviations: CO: Cardiac Output; CSF: Cerebrospinal Fluid; EDV: End-Diastolic Velocity; HR: Heart Rate; ICP: Intracranial Pressure; MAP: Mean Arterial Pressure; MCA: Middle Cerebral Artery; MLS: Midline Shift; PEEP: Positive End-Expiratory Pressure; PI: Pulsatility Index; PCO2: Partial Pressure of Oxygen; PO2: Partial Pressure of Oxygen; PP: Pulse Pressure; PSI: Peak Systolic Velocity; RI: Resistance Index; SD: Standard Deviation; SV: Stroke Volume; Tavg: Time-Averaged Maximum Velocity; TCCS: Transcranial Color-Coded Duplex Sonography; TCD: Transcranial Doppler.

Introduction

Raised intracranial pressure (ICP) is a life-threatening state with a high risk of morbidity and mortality. ICP may be missed in sedated and artificially ventilated patients, in whom dilated pupils appear late when herniation is imminent. Invasive monitoring methods, such as ventricular drainage and microdialysis, are often used in neurosurgical patients with known or suspected raised ICP, but these methods carry inherent risks of their own. A reduction in cerebral perfusion pressure may lead to hypoxemia or stroke, further brain edema, and together with cerebral herniation to irreversible brain damage and poorer outcomes [1,2]. In intensive care medicine, noninvasive tools to measure ICP are often preferred but rarely available; resulting in a higher frequency in the use and reliance on cerebral computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging.

Transcranial Doppler (TCD) sonography is a non-invasive, real-time bedside method used to measure cerebral blood flow and to determine resistance and pulsatility indices (RI and PI, respectively), which serve as indirect signs of raised ICP [3-5]. The complementary addition of B-mode sonography to TCD sonography, which results in transcranial color-coded duplex sonography (TCCS), allows for parenchymal assessments, specifically, measurements of ventricular width and midline displacement [6].

Following the Monro-Kellie doctrine, given a constant intracranial volume, compensation for intracranial hypertension can occur as long as the hypertension is in the low range [7,8]. The doctrine states that the sum of volumes of the three intracranial components of brain tissue, blood, and cerebrospinal fluid remains constant. A change in the volume of one component necessitates a modification of the volume of other components in a specific order to maintain a constant ICP. First, a caudal shift in intracranial CSF to the spinal compartment can
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be observed. The effect of this CSF shift and change in volume may be monitored by measuring the diameter of the optic nerve sheath and the extent of papilledema by using transorbital ultrasonography [9-11]. Further increases in ICP reduce intracranial blood volume by compression of veins and sinuses, and eventually by compression of arterial vessels [12]. Once these mechanisms have been exhausted, ICP increases rapidly (Figure 1).

TCCS measurements are affected by several variables such as autoregulated diameters of pre-capillary arterioles as a reaction to changes in mean arterial pressure (MAP), proximal stenosis, vasospasm, or rising ICP. Physiologically, cerebral blood flow is mainly regulated by changes in the resistance of vessels at the level of pre-capillary arterioles. This is mostly subject to a metabolic autoregulatory mechanism that is not yet been completely understood [13]. However, in the context of pathological processes of the brain, this auto regulation is often disturbed and becomes a sign of poor prognosis.

In this study we investigated whether increasing positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) has an effect on brain volume and, consequently, ICP as well as on CO. The results of previous studies on the influence of PEEP on ICP have been controversial [14-16].

The aim of this prospective study was to evaluate the influence of moderate changes in PEEP on hemodynamics and indirect signs of ICP depicted on TCCS images.

**Methods**

**Patients**

The study group consisted of 7 patients who had been admitted to the Neurological ICU of the University of Regensburg. The study was performed using a prospective design. Excel (Windows version) was used for collection and analysis of data. Summary statistics are shown in this paper as mean values with standard deviations (SDs) as well as ranges of continuous parameters. Pictorial presentation of some results are provided in bar and line graphs; other data are displayed in tables.

**Statistical Analysis**

The study was performed using a prospective design. Excel (Windows version) was used for collection and analysis of data. Summary statistics are shown in this paper as mean values with standard deviations (SDs) as well as ranges of continuous parameters. Pictorial presentation of some results are provided in bar and line graphs; other data are displayed in tables.

**Results**

**Transcranial duplex ultrasound**

The mean diameter of the third ventricle, which was insonated from both sides, was 5.67 mm (SD 2.74) at a PEEP of 10 cm H2O, 5.79 mm (SD 2.84) at a PEEP of 7 cm H2O, and 5.84 mm (SD 3.02) at a PEEP of 5 cm H2O. These values displayed a negative linear correlation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>PEEP 5 cm H₂O</th>
<th>PEEP 7 cm H₂O</th>
<th>PEEP 10 cm H₂O</th>
<th>delta 5–10 cm H₂O</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Status Epilepticus</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Status Epilepticus</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–0.71</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>5.72</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>–0.12</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>–0.94</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Leukoen-Cephalopathy</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Status Epilepticus</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>–0.64</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Status Epilepticus</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>–0.85</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of third ventricle diameters at different PEEP levels.

Cardiac output at different PEEP levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>PEEP 5 cm H₂O</th>
<th>PEEP 7 cm H₂O</th>
<th>PEEP 10 cm H₂O</th>
<th>delta 5–10 cm H₂O</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>–0.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>–0.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>–1.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>1.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of cardiac output at different PEEP levels.

between a rising PEEP and the diameter of third ventricle, but without statistical significance (p = 0.81).

The midline, which was insonated from both left and right sides, was detected at a median depth of 75–79 mm. A change in PEEP did not demonstrate a relevant deviation in the midline correlation to PEEP changes (p = 0.5).

The mean blood flow velocity at a PEEP of 10 cm H₂O in the left MCA was 52.0 cm/s. A reduction in PEEP to 7 cm H₂O showed a non-significant relative decrease in mean blood flow velocity to 49.0 cm/s. At a PEEP of 5 cm H₂O, the mean blood flow velocity was 52.7 cm/s, which also was not statistically relevant. There were no statistically relevant changes for the right MCA either.

The mean PI of the left MCA was 1.12 at a PEEP of 10 cm H₂O, and 1.5 at a PEEP of 5 cm H₂O (p = 0.47 for a comparison between PEEPs of 10 and 5 cm H₂O). The RI of the left MCA was 0.64 at a PEEP of 10 cm H₂O, 0.65 at a PEEP of 7 cm H₂O, and 0.67 at a PEEP of 5 cm H₂O (p = 0.47 for a comparison between PEEPs of 10 and 5 cm H₂O). No significant changes were found for the right MCA either.

Overall, blood flow velocity, PI, and RI did not change significantly at different PEEP levels (Table 1 and Figure 2a).

### Hemodynamics

The mean cardiac output was 6.47 L/min at a PEEP of 5 cm H₂O, 6.79 L/min at a PEEP of 7 cm H₂O, and 6.67 L/min at a PEEP of 10 cm H₂O (p = 0.58 for a comparison between PEEPs of 10 and 5 cm H₂O). No effect of changes in PEEP on heart rate could be observed. Values of systolic and diastolic blood pressures (BPs) remained constant. Systolic BPs ranged from 153 to 157 mmHg and diastolic BPs ranged from 73 to 76 mmHg.

The mean peripheral resistance [dyn * sec * cm⁻⁵] was 1571 at a PEEP of 5 cm H₂O, 1637 at a PEEP of 7 cm H₂O, and 1386 at a PEEP of 10 cm H₂O; there was no statistical relevance (p = 0.44 for a comparison between PEEPs of 10 and 5 cm H₂O).

None of the measurements that we obtained demonstrated any significant changes at the moderate PEEP levels used in this study. This may be due to preserved cerebral auto regulation capacity, low ICP in the examined patients, and appropriate volume therapy—an essential requirement for sufficient hemodynamics and thus sufficient oxygenation of the tissue (Table 2 and Figure 2b).

### Discussion

In this pilot study, we investigated the influence of low to moderate changes in PEEP on intracranial perfusion, as indirect signs of rising ICP, as well as on cardiovascular hemodynamics. Overall, our data demonstrated no significant effects, although we did identify a negative correlation between elevations in PEEP and decreasing diameters of the third ventricle; this confirms a mild effect on brain volume from decreased venous outflow. These results confirm the safety of mild PEEP changes for both brain and cardiac output in primarily neurological patients, suggesting an intact cerebral auto regulation and a sufficient volume status in this small patient population.

### Auto regulation, ICP, and neurovascular coupling

Cerebral blood flow and auto regulation are influenced by systemic cardiopulmonary variables, cerebrovascular reactivity, and neurovascular coupling; and they can be impaired by a brain injury such as ischemia, trauma, septic encephalopathy or tumor [24,25]. The impact of cerebral injury and consequent disturbed cerebral auto regulation may have a strong influence on outcome.
Auto regulation of ICP is an important mechanism in the prevention of secondary damage to the brain [26]. The effect of blood-brain barrier disruption is known; particularly in cases of cerebral ischemia, it results in a neuro inflammatory response that is attended by edema and has consequences for ICP and vascular auto regulation [27]. Cooper et al. [28] examined 33 patients with severe head injury to determine whether changes in PEEP would cause an increase in ICP. Other physiological variables, such as cardiac output and cerebral perfusion (determined by ultrasonography), were also measured. The authors concluded that 10 cm H\textsubscript{2}O PEEP increases ICP slightly through an effect on other physiological variables, but found no clinical consequence [28]. Another prospective study undertaken by Caricato et al. [29] involved examination of 21 patients with head injury and subarachnoid hemorrhage who were given mechanical ventilation. In accordance with the study design, these patients were compared with one group of patients with normal respiratory system compliance and another group of patients with low respiratory system compliance. In the latter two groups PEEP was raised from 0 to 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O in defined sequences. Overall, in patients with low respiratory system compliance, transcranial ultrasound studies found no significant effect of PEEP elevation on cerebral or systemic hemodynamics [29]. In patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome, but no neurological disease, who were examined by Schramm and colleagues [16] using TCD sonography, higher levels of PEEP (9.2–14.3 cm H\textsubscript{2}O) had no influence on cerebral blood flow, but there were signs of impaired autoregulation in 55% of patients. Videtta et al. [30] found no significant increase in ICP (using a parenchymal sensor) when they elevated the PEEP from 5 to 15 cm H\textsubscript{2}O in 20 patients. In that study the patients suffered from severe head injury or from some type of intracranial haemorrhage. PEEP was raised from 5 to 15 cm H\textsubscript{2}O for 10 minutes before ICP and cerebral perfusion were measured. The elevation in PEEP resulted in a significant increase in ICP (p < 0.05) with no changes in cerebral perfusion. Georgiadis et al. [31] evaluated 20 patients with acute stroke and examined the effects of changes in PEEP between 4 and 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O on ICP (measured using a parenchymal ICP sensor), heart rate, MAP (determined using radial artery cannulation) and the mean velocity of the MCAs (measured using TCCS). The authors concluded that there was a negligible effect of PEEP on ICP. They also stated that changes in cerebral perfusion pressure are mediated through changes in MAP and indirectly through increases in ICP caused by cerebral vasodilation in patients with intact cerebral autoregulation.

Hemodynamics

With respect to the aforementioned cardiopulmonary effects of PEEP, the primary finding was that a modification in vascular lung compartment results in a change in intra thoracic pressure. Cardiac effects differ between the right and left ventricles and can be grouped into three types: ventricular preload, afterload, and contractility [32].

Ventricular preload depends on systemic venous return, ventricular output, and ventricular filling [33]. In the left ventricle PEEP reduces preload and afterload while preserving contractility. In the right ventricle a lower PEEP (< 10 mmHg) reduces the preload without influencing contractility, whereas a higher PEEP (> 10 mmHg) causes decreases in both preload and afterload. A broad intravascular volume can reduce these effects. Factors like sufficient volume status seem to play a much larger role in optimal cerebral perfusion.

Usually, a change in heart rate cannot be observed, as our data support. Viquerat et al. found a decrease in cardiac output by increasing PEEP to 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O but concluded that a reduction in preload might be the primary cause [34]. In 50 children who received mechanical ventilation and were hemodynamically stable, Ingaramo and colleagues found no difference in heart rate or blood pressure when PEEP was raised from 0 to 12 cm H\textsubscript{2}O [35]. However, higher PEEP levels might have an exponential effect on ICP.

The influence of PEEP on both intracranial and cardiac hemodynamics may increase when there is severe brain injury with damaged cerebral auto regulation. In Case 5 in the present study, we observed unusual effects of PEEP changes. This patient suffered from acute and complete leuкоencephalopathy of the brain—the histo pathological report determined almost exclusively demyelination process—with an unknown trigger, resulting in a breakdown of neurovascular coupling. In high-risk patients, such as intubated and ventilated patients in a neurological intensive care unit, it is of critical importance that sufficient cerebral perfusion be monitored. Factors like sufficient volume status seem to play a much larger role in optimal cerebral perfusion. Given the Monro-Kellie doctrine, according to which ICP can remain constant by modifications in brain component’s volume, exhaustion of the compensatory mechanism might have an exponential effect on ICP, even in the presence of low elevations in PEEP if ICP is at a critical level.

Limitations of the study

The current study has several limitations. There were very few patients. The study protocol does not include known vascular risk factors such as pre-existing arteriosclerosis or vasculitis, heart failure, or pulmonary diseases with suspected hemodynamic relevance or resistance of vessels. Other factors not taken into account are medications such as beta-blockers or catecholamine, which produce intentional vascular or hemodynamic effects.

Conclusion

Low to moderate changes in PEEP in patients who receive mechanical ventilation for neurological injury or disease is safe and has no significant impact on cerebral perfusion and hemodynamics, despite a tendency toward increased intracranial volume, which could be observed by decreases in the diameter of the third ventricle.

TCCS in combination with non-invasive hemodynamics monitoring of the cardiac output is an easily available method for bedside evaluation of cerebral auto regulation and ICP; it allows steady state investigation without the risk attending transportation to diagnostics. The data we obtained in this study suggest that with respect to intra thoracic pressure and, therefore reduced cardiac preload, the influence of cerebral auto regulation is superior to that of PEEP. Further studies taking into account various cerebral diseases and changes in ICP at different PEEP levels should be undertaken in a larger population of patients.
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